# BEFORE THE CONTRACTORS BOARD

RECEIVED FEB 0 5 2008

### STATE OF IDAHO

|                                       |   | OCCUPA                 |
|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| In the Matter of the Registration of: | ) | OCCUPATIONAL LICENSES  |
|                                       | ) | Case No. CON-2007-69   |
| TALIAULI AMINI,                       | ) |                        |
| Registration No. RCT-19643,           | ) | FINDINGS OF FACT,      |
|                                       | ) | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND |
| Respondent.                           | ) | RECOMMENDED ORDER      |
|                                       | ) |                        |
| COM/Amini/D2015lga                    |   |                        |

CON\Amini\P8015Ige

Having reviewed the Complaint and other documents in this matter, the Hearing Officer hereby enters the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommended Order:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Taliauli Amini (hereinafter "Respondent") is registered with the Idaho State Contractors Board (hereinafter "Board") under Registration No. RCT-19643 to engage in the practice of contracting.
- 2. On December 20, 2007, a formal administrative Complaint was filed in this matter with the Board. Said Complaint is expressly incorporated herein and made a part hereof.
- 3. Copies of the Complaint, along with the Notification of Procedural Rights, were sent to Respondent on December 20, 2007, by means of the United States Mail, postage prepaid, both by certified mail, return receipt requested, and by regular mail. The mailings were addressed to Respondent at his most recent home address on file with the Board, as follows:

Taliauli Amini 423 17th Avenue N. Nampa, ID 83686

4. The copies of the Complaint sent to Respondent by both certified mail and by regular mail were returned to the sending office marked "moved left no address," "unable to forward," and "return to sender" by the post office.

- 5. The Notification of Procedural Rights informed Respondent that, under statutes and rules applicable to such proceedings before the Board, Respondent needed to file a formal Answer to the Complaint within twenty-one (21) days of service of the Complaint and that failure to timely file an Answer to the Complaint or otherwise defend against the action would constitute a default and would be sufficient grounds for proceeding administratively against Respondent's registration without the necessity of conducting a hearing.
- 6. On January <u>22</u>, 2008, a Notice of Proposed Default Order and Default Order, along with another copy of the Complaint and Notification of Procedural Rights, were sent to Respondent by means of the United States Mail, postage prepaid, both by certified mail, return receipt requested, and by regular mail, at the following address:

Taliauli Amini 423 17th Avenue N. Nampa, ID 83686

- 7. Respondent failed to contest entry of the proposed Default Order within seven (7) days of service of the Notice of Proposed Default Order.
- 8. Concurrent herewith, a Default Order was entered against Respondent. Therefore, the allegations contained in the Complaint on file in this matter are admitted as true without the necessity of conducting a hearing.
- 9. As detailed in the incorporated Complaint, Respondent did do the following:
- a. Respondent engaged in the business of contracting and used a fictitious contractor number before being registered with the Board;
- b. Respondent failed repay J.C. for work that Respondent failed to complete and J.C. was forced to hire another contractor to complete; and
- c. Respondent failed to complete work for O.B. in a workmanlike manner and failed to repay O.B. for work that Respondent failed to complete.

111

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. As a registered contractor in the State of Idaho, Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the Board and to the provisions of title 54, chapter 52, Idaho Code.
- 2. The Complaint was sent to Respondent at the address on file with the Board. Respondent was duly and lawfully given notice of proceedings against its registration pursuant to the provisions of IDAPA 04.11.01.055.
- 3. Respondent's failure to plead or otherwise defend in this action authorizes the Board, pursuant to Idaho Code § 67-5242(4) and IDAPA 04.11.01.700, to enter an Order of Default which is as lawful as if all the allegations in the Complaint were proved or admitted at a hearing.
- 4. Respondent's acts as detailed in the incorporated Complaint constitute violations of Idaho Code §§ 54-5204(1) (unlawful for any person to engage in the business of or hold himself out as a contractor without being registered with the Board), 54-5215(2)(a) (contractors shall not violate the Contractors Act), 54-5215(2)(g) (contractors shall not engage in dishonest or dishonorable dealings), and 54-5215(2)(h) (contractors shall not be grossly negligent or reckless in the performance of construction), thereby authorizing the Board to impose sanctions against Respondent.

# **ORDER**

Based upon the foregoing, it is the recommendation of the Hearing Officer that the Board take such action as it deems appropriate consistent with the Findings of Fact and

Conclusions of Law stated above.

DATED this (

day of  $\downarrow$  , 2008.

Paul S. Penland

# NOTICE OF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS

This is a recommended order of the Hearing Officer. It will not become final without action of the Board. Any party may file a petition for reconsideration of this recommended order with the Hearing Officer issuing the order within fourteen (14) days of the service date of this order. The Hearing Officer issuing this recommended order will dispose of any petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of its receipt, or the petition will be considered denied by operation of law. See Idaho Code § 67-5243(3).

Within twenty-one (21) days after (a) the service date of this recommended order, (b) the service date of a denial of a petition for reconsideration from this recommended order, or (c) the failure within twenty-one (21) days to grant or deny a petition for reconsideration from this recommended order, any party may in writing support or take exceptions to any part of this recommended order and file briefs in support of the party's position on any issue in the proceeding.

Written briefs in support of or taking exceptions to the recommended order shall be filed with the Board. Opposing parties shall have twenty-one (21) days to respond. The Board may schedule oral argument in the matter before issuing a final order. The Board will issue a final order within fifty-six (56) days of receipt of the written briefs or oral argument, whichever is later, unless waived by the parties and for good cause shown. The Board may remand the matter for further evidentiary hearings if further factual development of the record is necessary before issuing a final order.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this day of , 2008, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing by the following method to: Taliauli Amini U.S. Mail 423 17th Avenue N. Hand Delivery Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested Nampa, ID 83686 Overnight Mail Facsimile: Statehouse Mail Michael S. Gilmore U.S. Mail Deputy Attorney General Hand Delivery P.O. Box 83720 Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested Boise, ID 83720-0010 Overnight Mail Facsimile: Statehouse Mail Paul S. Penland Hearing Officer